# CLINICAL AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL PATTERNS OF CERVICAL LYMPH NODE METASTASIS IN ORAL CANCERS UNDERGOING SURGERY

# Kumar Shubhanshu<sup>1</sup>, Nitika Mehta<sup>1#</sup>, Rohit Sharma<sup>2</sup>, Vineet Sharma<sup>3</sup>, Tanu Agarwal<sup>4\*</sup>, Zafar Iqbal<sup>5</sup>, Ashish Mehrotra<sup>1</sup>

#### **Publication Info**

Paper Submission Date 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2016

Paper Acceptance Date 20th September 2016

Paper Publication Date December 2016

DOI 10.21761/jms.v1i2.7121

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Oral cancer is the term referred to oral squamous cell carcinoma of the oral mucosa as it forms 95% of oral cancer cases. It is estimated to be the sixth most common cancer which accounts for 0.6% to 5% of all cancers. Neck metastasis is one of the most important factor determining the progress.

**Material And Methods:** This retrospective study was based on a review of the hospital records of patients who were diagnosed and operated for carcinoma oral cavity between 2014 to 2016. There were 40 cases of oral carcinoma. Charts were retrospectively reviewed for age, site of tumour, clinical involvement of lymph nodes, histopathological involvement of lymph nodes. Tumour staging was done according to the AJCC classification.

**Results:** Out of 40 patients taken in the study 21 (52.2%) patients were aged between 30 to 49 years with male preponderance 27(67.5%). Tongue and buccal mucosa were the commonest subsites involved (45% each). Level Ib is the most frequently involved in oral cancers but with higher stages the lower level are more frequently involved especially as micrometastasis.

**Conclusion:** There is a dilemma between risk and benefits of neck dissection in N0 necks in oral cancers. Based on our experience we recommend at least a selective neck dissection in the patients undergoing resection of oral carcinomas.

Keywords: Oral Cancers, Cervical Lymph Node Metastasis, Neck Dissection

# INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer is the term referred to oral squamous cell carcinoma of the oral mucosa as it forms 95% of oral cancer cases. It is estimated to be the sixth most common cancer which accounts for 0.6% to 5% of all cancers in Europe, United States, and Australia respectively, but up to 45% of cancers in India. It mostly affects males with incidence of 75% patients over 60 years, but its incidence is also growing among females. The most common causative factors associated with squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity are alcohol and tobacco abuse. Betel nut and tobacco chewing are responsible for the high incidence in the Indian subcontinent. It may appear in any area of the oral cavity, but there are certain areas, in which it is found more frequently, the oral tongue and floor of the mouth represent about 90% of all oral cavity malignancies.<sup>2,3</sup> Lymph node metastasis occurs in about 40% of patients with oral cancer and their clinical manifestations are hidden in rate of 15% to 34%. The status of cervical lymph nodes at presentation

is the single most important prognostic factor for patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. 5 The five year survival rate in patients with neck metastases decreases according to the number and level of the nodes involved and if capsular rupture is present.<sup>6</sup> The indication of Neck dissection in Head and Neck malignancy is a problem of risk benefit evaluation between the probability of neck metastasis, the probability of complications associated with neck dissection and the possible prognostic influence of late diagnosis of metastasis during follow up. Therapeutic dissection decreases the risk of regional recurrence in cases where there is high probability of neck metastasis. However, if the probability of neck metastasis is low or nil, neck dissection simply acts as an overtreatment, where the morbidity of the neck procedure only offers a decrease in quality of life and functional deficits. Our study aimed to assess the pattern of metastasis in oral cancer which may aid in better management of neck metastasis.

Senior Resident<sup>1</sup>, Professor and Head<sup>2</sup>, Associate Professor<sup>3</sup>, Professor<sup>4</sup>, Assistant Professor<sup>5</sup>

Department of ENT and Head & Neck Surgery, \*Department of Pathology, Shri Ram Murti Smarak Institute of Medical Sciences, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh # Department of ENT and Head & Neck Surgery, ASCOMS, Jammu

Corresponding Email: rohitsharma.dr@gmail.com

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This retrospective study was based on a review of the hospital records of patients who were diagnosed and operated for carcinoma oral cavity between 2014 to 2016. Surgery was in the form of primary resection and a type of neck dissection in all the patients.

There were 40 cases of oral carcinoma. Charts were retrospectively reviewed for age, site of tumour, clinical involvement of lymph nodes, histopathological involvement of lymph nodes. Tumour staging was done according to the AJCC classification

# RESULTS

Out of 40 patients taken in the study 21 (52.2%) patients were aged between 30 to 49 years with male preponderance 27(67.5%) with right side being involved in 22(55%) cases.

Buccal mucosa and tongue were the most common site involved with equal number for each in our study group (Table-1).

Table-1: Subsites of oral cancer observed

S.No	Site	No. of Patients
1	Buccal Mucosa	18 (45%)
2	Tongue	18 (45%)
3	Lip	2 (5%)
4	Angle Of Mouth	2 (5%)

Irrespective of primary stage most common level to be involved clinically was level 1b (Table-2) and as the staging of the primary tumour increased the mean number of lymph nodes involved per patient in his level also increased (Table-3).

**Table-2:** Total number of clinically palpable lymph nodes according to levels (40 cases)

S.No	Levels	Clinically Palpable Lymph Nodes
1	Level Ia	2
2	Level Ib	22
3	Level II	5
4	Level III	2
5	Level IV	3
6	Level V	1

**Table-3:** Mean number of clinical lymph nodes palpable in each level according to T Stage

	Level I-a	Level I-b	Level II	Level III	Level IV	Level V
T1						
T2	0.1	0.6	0.75	0.1	0.2	
T3	0.25	0.7	0.5		0.16	0.16
T4		1.6		0.3		

Modified Radical neck dissection was the most commonly carried out procedure for addressing neck metastasis and TYPE I was carried out in all patients where spinal accessory nerve was preserved. Among the selective neck dissection, Supraomohyoid was the commonest to be performed where neck was clinically negative. However Radical Neck Dissection was carried out in 2 patients only (Table-4).

Table-4: Type of Neck Dissection Performed

Туре	No. of Patients	Percentage
Modified Radical ND Type 1	19	47%
Radical ND	2	5%
Supra Omohyoid ND	14	35%
Extended Supraomohyoid ND	5	13%

Neck Dissection (ND)

Mean number of lymph nodes per patient in Level 1b increased with the stage of the primary. On histopathological examination after surgery it was further observed that with T4 cancers Level III and Level V had greater nodal involvement. (Table-3 & 5)

**Table-5:** Mean number of histopathologically proven lymph nodes according to T Stage

	Level I-a	Level I-b	Level II	Level III	Level IV	Level V
T1						
T2	0.2	0.6	0.75	0.1	0.3	0.1
Т3	0.25	0.8		0.1	0.3	
T4		2		1.6	0.3	1

Comparison of patients with clinically palpable nodes and histopathologically positive nodes (Table-6) revealed that 20% cases were clinically positive but histopathologically negative whereas 17.5% patients had no clinically palpable nodes but were histopathologically positive.

**Table-6:** Comparative patient distribution in terms clinical examination and histopathology

Pre-operative Clinical	Histopatholo	Total		
Examination	Positive	Negative		
Positive	20 (50%)	8 (20%)	28 (70%)	
Negative	7 (17.5%)	5 (12.5%)	12 (30%)	
Total	27 (67.5%)	13 (32.5%)	40 (40%)	

### DISCUSSION

Nodal involvement is the most important prognostic factor in the management of Head and Neck cancers. Regardless of site of primary tumour, the presence of single ipsilateral or contralateral metastatic node reduces survival by 50% and bilateral disease by a further 50%.

Out of 40 subjects 67.5% were male which corresponds to earlier studies by Harald Essig et al this correlates with the fact that the consumption of tobacco and alcohol is more prevalent in males.<sup>9</sup>

Most of the patients (52%) were aged between 30-49 yrs with similar results have been found by CS Nithya et al. <sup>10</sup> However, a couple of other authors (Razavi et al and Howell et al) on the studies of trends or oral cancers reported most of their cases above 60 years. <sup>11,12</sup> Thus, the Indian trends indicates an earlier, middle age involvement.

Buccal Mucosa and tongue were the most common subsites for oral cancers in our study group (45%) each. Muwonge R et al, studied the role of tobacco smoking, chewing and alcohol drinking in the risk of oral cancer. According to them tobacco chewing was the strongest risk factor and this is quite prevalent in the parts of India where the study was carried out.<sup>13</sup> On the contrary, lip and gingiva were the most common sites involved followed by tongue by some authors.<sup>11,12</sup>

Li XJ et al in their clinicopathological analysis of cervical lymph node metastasis with oral squamous carcinoma observed that Level II whereas we found it to be Level Ib in our series. Level Ib involvement was also the commonest in the study of Shah et al. <sup>14,15</sup> Byers et al showed that 16% of patients with oral cancer had metastases in level IV without nodes in levels I,II and III. <sup>16</sup> This was 10.5% in our analysis indicating that high degree of suspicion for level V involvement is required in the surgical planning.

We calculated the number of clinically palpable as well as histopathologically positive nodes for each level per patient (Tables IV & VI). These increased as the stage of the primary increased over T1 to T4. Similar pattern was reported by Wu-Long.<sup>17</sup> On histopathological examinations an increased incidence of positive nodes per patient was observed in levels III,IV & V especially.

Around 20% patients appear to have been over treated in terms of neck dissection as they had clinically palpable nodes but were not proven histopathologically for metastasis. Further, in 17.5% patients no lymph nodes were palpable but their histopathologies were positive. Kingerman reported fewer neck recurrences in patients who had undergone elective neck dissection along with primary resection than those undergoing resection alone (24% versus 42%). <sup>18</sup>

As a protocol we performed at least a selective neck dissection in all the patients of oral cancer undergoing surgery for the primary. Moreover, significant complication/morbidity was documented in patients undergoing selective neck dissection.

# **CONCLUSION**

The decision of Neck Dissection versus observation is a dilemma between risks and benefit. The points favouring neck dissection are the high incidence of occult metastasis, poor salvage rates and increased capsular spread. <sup>19</sup> Unnecessary morbidity and doubtful survival benefit were commonly cited reasons for avoiding routine elective neck treatment. <sup>20</sup>

#### REFRENCES

- 1. Tytor M, Olofsson J. Prognostic factors in oral cavity carcinomas. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 1992; 492: 75-78.
- Stewart BW, Greim H, Shuker D, Kauppinen T. Defence of IARC monographs. Lancet 2003; 361(9365):1300.
- Brandizzi D, Gandolfo M, Velazco ML, Cabrini RL, Lanfranchi HE. Clinical features and evolution of oral cancer: A study of 274 cases in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2008; 13: E544-548.
- 4. Lea J, Bachar G, Sawka AM, Lakra DC, Gilbert RW, et al. Metastasis to level Ib in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Head Neck 2010; 32: 184-190.
- Pentenero M, Gandolfo S, Carrozzo M. Importance of tumour thickness and depth of invasion in nodal involvement and prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma: a review of the literature. Head Neck 2005; 27:1080-1091.
- Vartanian JG, Pontes E, Agra IM, Campos OD, Goncalves-Filho J, Carvalho AL et al. Distribution of metastatic lymph nodes in oropharyngeal carcinoma and its implications for the elective treatment of the neck. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2003; 129:729-732.
- Gonzalez-Moles MA, Esteban F, Rodriguez-Archilla A, Ruiz Avila I, Gonzalez-Moles S. Importance of tumour thickness measurement in prognosis of tongue cancer. Oral Oncol 2002; 38:394–397.
- 8. Richards PS, Peacock TE. The role of ultrasound in the detection of cervical lymph node metastasis in clinically N0 squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck, Cancer Imaging 2007;7:167-178.

- Harald Essig, Riaz Warraich. Assessment of cervical lymph node metastasis for therapeutic decision – making in squamous cell carcinoma of buccal mucosa: a prospective clinical analysis. World J Surg Oncol 2012;10:253.
- C.S Nithya, Manoj Pandey A. Patterns of cervical metastasis from carcinoma of the oral tongue. World J Surg Oncol 2003; 1: 10.
- 11. Howell RE, Wright BA, Dewar R. Trends in incidence of oral cancer in Nova Scotia. Oral Surg Oral Med Pathol Oral RAdiol Endod 2003 Feb;95(2):205-12.
- 12. Razavi SM, Siadat S, Rahbar P, Hosseini SM, Shirani AM. Trends in oral cancer rates in Isfahan, Iran. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2012 Dec; 9(Suppl1): S88-S93.
- Muwonge R, Ramdas K, Sankila R, Thara S, Thomas G, Vinoda J, Sankaranarayanan R. Role of tobacco smoking, chewing and alcohol drinking in the risk of oral cancers in Trivandrum, India. Oral Oncol 2008;44(5):446-54.
- LiXJ, Zhang S,Wu HJ, Zhu ZF, Liu JB. Clinicopathological analysis of cervical lymph node metastasis with oral squmaous cell carcinoma. Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue 2015; 24(6):743-7.
- 15. Shah JP, Candela FC, Poddar AK. The pattern of

- cervical lymph metastasis from squamous carcinoma of the oral cavity. X Cancer 1990;66:109-113.
- 16. Byres RM, Weber RS, Andrews T, McGill D, Kare R, Wolf P. Frequency and therapeutic implications of "skip metastasis" in the neck from squamous carcinoma of the tongue. Head Neck 1997;19:14-19.
- 17. Jin Wu-long, YE Wei-min, Zheng Jia-wei. Occult cervical lymph node metastasis in 100 consecutive patients with cN0 tongue cancer. Chinese medical J. 2008;121(19):1871-1874.
- Kingerman J, Lima RA, Soares JR. Supraomohyoid neck dissection in the treatment of T1/T2 squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity. Am J Surg 1994; 168:391-394.
- 19. Teichgraeber JF, Clairmont AA. Incidence of occult metastasis for cancer of the oral tongue and floor of the mouth, treatment rationale. Head Neck Surg 1984;7(1):15-21.
- 20. Veness MJ, Morgan GJ, Sathiyaseelan Y, Gebski V. Anterior tongue cancer and the incidence of cervical lymph node metastasis with increasing tumour thickness: should elective treatment to the neck be standard practice in all patients? ANZ J Surg 2005; 75 (3): 101-105.